



## State of Utah

JON M. HUNTSMAN, JR.  
Governor

GARY HERBERT  
Lieutenant Governor

Department of  
Environmental Quality

Richard W. Sprott  
Executive Director

DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY  
Walter L. Baker, P.E.  
Director

off C/007/041 Incoming  
cc: Pete  
Steve C.

August 28, 2008

Mr. Dave Shaver, West Ridge Mine  
West Ridge Resources, Inc.  
794 North "C" Canyon Road  
P.O. Box 1077  
Price, UT 84501

Subject: Inspection Reports – UPDES Permit Nos. UT0025674 & UT0025640.

Dear Mr. Shaver:

On August 26, 2008 I met with your authorized agent, Ms. Karla Knoop and conducted compliance sampling and reconnaissance inspections in regards to your UPDES Permit facilities referenced above. Specifically we discussed the facility operations as it relates to your UPDES Permit. An accompanying tour of the facility, including the outfalls, sedimentation ponds and receiving waters was also conducted. No deficiencies were noted during the inspections and no written response is required at this time.

Enclosed are copies of the inspection reports for your records. I appreciate the efforts to facilitate the inspections and keep me informed of the operations. If you have any questions, please contact me at (801) 538-6779 or by e-mail at [jstudenka@utah.gov](mailto:jstudenka@utah.gov).

Sincerely,

Jeff Studenka, Environmental Scientist  
UPDES IES Section

Enclosures

cc (w/encl): Jennifer Meints, EPA Region VIII  
Claron Bjork, SE District Health Department  
Dave Ariotti, SE District Engineer  
Daron Haddock, Division of Oil Gas & Mines

F:\wp\Genwal-Westridge Mines\West Ridge Mine\Aug2008Inspectionscovltr.doc

RECEIVED

SEP 08 2008

DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING



United States Environmental Protection Agency  
Washington, D.C. 20460

## Water Compliance Inspection Report

### Section A: National Data System Coding (i.e., ICIS)

Transaction Code

N 1 2

NPDES

U T 0 0 2 5 6 7 4 11

yr/mo/day

0 8 0 8 2 6 12 17

Inspection Type

S 18

Inspector

S 19

Fac. Type

2 20

Remarks

Inspection Work Days

67 69 2

Facility Self-Monitoring Evaluation Rating

4 70

BI

N 71

QA

N 72

Reserved

73 74

75

80

### Section B: Facility Data

Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW, also include POTW name and NPDES permit number)

ANDALEX Centennial Mines Project  
~8 miles NE of Price, UT on Airport Road  
P.O. Box 902  
Price, UT 84501

Entry Time/ Date

9:10 am/8-26-2008

Permit Effective Date

12-1-2006

Exit Time/ Date

9:50 am/8-26-2008

Permit Expiration Date

11-30-2011

Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s)

Karla Knoop, Hydrologist & Authorized Agent  
jbr Environmental Consultants, Inc.  
phone (435) 637-9645  
fax (435) 637-8679

Other Facility Data (e.g., SIC NAICS, and other descriptive information)

Bituminous Coal Underground Mining Facility  
SIC Code 1222  
NAICS 212112

SEE ATTACHED

Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number

Bruce Hill, President  
UtahAmerican Energy, Inc.  
P.O. Box 1077  
Price, UT 84501  
(435) 888-4008

Contacted

☐ Yes

☒ No

### Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (Check only those areas evaluated)

- |   |  |  |                              |
|---|--|--|------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Permit                    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Self Monitoring Program  | <input type="checkbox"/> Pretreatment            | <input type="checkbox"/> MS4 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Records/Reports           | <input type="checkbox"/> Compliance Schedule                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Pollution Prevention    |                              |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility Site Review      | <input type="checkbox"/> Laboratory                          | <input type="checkbox"/> Storm Water             |                              |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Effluent/Receiving Waters | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operations & Maintenance | <input type="checkbox"/> Combined Sewer Overflow |                              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Flow Measurement                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Sludge Handling/Disposal            | <input type="checkbox"/> Sanitary Sewer Overflow |                              |

### Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments

(Attach additional sheets of narrative and checklists, including Single Event Violation codes, as necessary)

SEV Codes

SEV Description

Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s)

JEFF STUDENKA, ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST

Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Number(s)

DWQ  
(801) 538-6779

Date:

8-28-08

Name and Signature of Management Q A Reviewer

MIKE HERKIMER, MANAGER  
UPDES IES SECTION

Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Number(s)

DWQ  
(801) 538-6058

Date:

9/2/08

## INSTRUCTIONS

### Section A: National Data System Coding (i.e., ICIS)

**Column 1: Transaction Code:** Use N, C, or D for New, Change, or Delete. All inspections will be *new* unless there is an error in the data entered.

**Columns 3-11: NPDES Permit No.** Enter the facility's NPDES permit number - third character in permit number indicates permit type for U=unpermitted, G=general permit, etc. (Use the Remarks columns to record the State permit number, if necessary.)

**Columns 12-17: Inspection Date.** Insert the date entry was made into the facility. Use the year/month/day format (e.g., 04/10/01 = October 01, 2004).

**Column 18: Inspection Type\*.** Use one of the codes listed below to describe the type of inspection:

A	Performance Audit	X	Toxics Inspection	6	IU Non-Sampling Inspection with Pretreatment
B	Compliance Biomonitoring	Z	Sludge - Biosolids	7	IU Toxics with Pretreatment
C	Compliance Evaluation (non-sampling)	#	Combined Sewer Overflow-Sampling	!	Pretreatment Compliance (Oversight)@
D	Diagnostic	\$	Combined Sewer Overflow-Non-Sampling	{	Follow-up (enforcement)
F	Pretreatment (Follow-up)	+	Sanitary Sewer Overflow-Sampling	}	Storm Water-Construction-Sampling
G	Pretreatment (Audit)	&	Sanitary Sewer Overflow-Non-Sampling	:	Storm Water-Construction-Non-Sampling
I	Industrial User (IU) Inspection	\	CAFO-Sampling	~	Storm Water-Non-Construction-Sampling
J	Complaints	=	CAFO-Non-Sampling	<	Storm Water-MS4-Sampling
M	Multimedia	2	IU Sampling Inspection	-	Storm Water-MS4-Non-Sampling
N	Spill	3	IU Non-Sampling Inspection	>	Storm Water-MS4-Audit
O	Compliance Evaluation (Oversight)	4	IU Toxics Inspection		
P	Pretreatment Compliance Inspection	5	IU Sampling Inspection with Pretreatment		
R	Reconnaissance				
S	Compliance Sampling				
U	IU Inspection with Pretreatment Audit				

**Column 19: Inspector Code.** Use one of the codes listed below to describe the lead agency in the inspection.

A-	State (Contractor)	O-	Other Inspectors, Federal/EPA (Specify in Remarks columns)
B-	EPA (Contractor)	P-	Other Inspectors, State (Specify in Remarks columns)
E-	Corps of Engineers	R-	EPA Regional Inspector
J-	Joint EPA/State Inspectors—EPA Lead	S-	State Inspector
L-	Local Health Department (State)	T-	Joint State/EPA Inspectors—State lead
N-	NEIC Inspectors		

**Column 20: Facility Type.** Use one of the codes below to describe the facility.

- 1- Municipal. Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs) with 1987 Standard Industrial Code (SIC) 4952.
- 2- Industrial. Other than municipal, agricultural, and Federal facilities.
- 3- Agricultural. Facilities classified with 1987 SIC 0111 to 0971.
- 4- Federal. Facilities identified as Federal by the EPA Regional Office.
- 5- Oil & Gas. Facilities classified with 1987 SIC 1311 to 1389.

**Columns 21-66: Remarks.** These columns are reserved for remarks at the discretion of the Region.

**Columns 67-69: Inspection Work Days.** Estimate the total work effort (to the nearest 0.1 work day), up to 99.9 days, that were used to complete the inspection and submit a QA reviewed report of findings. This estimate includes the accumulative effort of all participating inspectors; any effort for laboratory analyses, testing, and remote sensing; and the billed payroll time for travel and pre and post inspection preparation. This estimate does not require detailed documentation.

**Column 70: Facility Evaluation Rating.** Use information gathered during the inspection (regardless of inspection type) to evaluate the quality of the facility self-monitoring program. Grade the program using a scale of 1 to 5 with a score of 5 being used for very reliable self-monitoring programs, 3 being satisfactory, and 1 being used for very unreliable programs.

**Column 71: Biomonitoring Information.** Enter D for static testing. Enter F for flow through testing. Enter N for no biomonitoring.

**Column 72: Quality Assurance Data Inspection.** Enter Q if the inspection was conducted as follow-up on quality assurance sample results. Enter N otherwise.

**Columns 73-80:** These columns are reserved for regionally defined information.

### Section B: Facility Data

This section is self-explanatory except for "Other Facility Data," which may include new information not in the permit or PCS (e.g., new outfalls, names of receiving waters, new ownership, other updates to the record, SIC/NAICS Codes, Latitude/Longitude).

### Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection

Check only those areas evaluated by marking the appropriate box. Use Section D and additional sheets as necessary. Support the findings, as necessary, in a brief narrative report. Use the headings given on the report form (e.g., Permit, Records/Reports) when discussing the areas evaluated during the inspection.

### Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments

Briefly summarize the inspection findings. This summary should abstract the pertinent inspection findings, not replace the narrative report. Reference a list of attachments, such as completed checklists taken from the NPDES Compliance Inspection Manuals and pretreatment guidance documents, including effluent data when sampling has been done. Use extra sheets as necessary.

\*Footnote: In addition to the inspection types listed above under column 18, a state may continue to use the following wet weather and CAFO inspection types until the state is brought into ICIS-NPDES: K: CAFO, V: SSO, Y: CSO, W: Storm Water 9: MS4. States may also use the new wet weather, CAFO and MS4 inspections types shown in column 18 of this form. The EPA regions are required to use the new wet weather, CAFO, and MS4 inspection types for inspections with an inspection date (DTIN) on or after July 1, 2005.

## **INSPECTION PROTOCOL**

UPDES Permit #: UT0025674 - Andalex Tower Mine  
Inspection Type: Compliance Sampling Inspection  
Inspection Date: August 26, 2008

Jeff Studenka of the Division of Water Quality (DWQ) met with Karla Knoop at the Utah American Energy, Inc., ANDALEX Resources Centennial Mines Project Facility. The purpose for the site visit was to perform an inspection prior to the facility ceasing discharge in the near future. DWQ sampling crew, A. Hultquist and A. Anderson, arrived on site and collected discharge compliance samples from Outfall 004 for TDS, TSS, iron, and oil & grease. Instantaneous pH was measured at 7.9 s.u. Results will be compared with the August DMR submittal.

## **FACILITY DESCRIPTION**

Location: Approximately 8 miles NE of Price, Utah on Airport Road.  
Coordinates: Outfall 001 (sed. pond) – 39° 43' 37" latitude, -110° 43' 18" longitude  
Outfall 002 (mine water) – 39° 43' 49" latitude, -110° 43' 18" longitude  
Outfall 003 (sed. pond) – 39° 43' 25" latitude, -110° 43' 18" longitude  
Outfall 004 (mine water) – 39° 42' 10" latitude, -110° 44' 20" longitude

Average Flow: 0.7 MGD from outfall 004 (No Discharge from 001, 002, 003)

Receiving water: Deadman Canyon ephemeral drainage → Hayes Wash → Price River.

Process: Until earlier in the summer, this was an active underground coal mining operation utilizing long-wall technology. Water from the mine is conveyed to a below ground settling pond and pump station, where it is then piped out of the mine from three pump stations and discharged to Deadman Canyon drainage (Outfall 004). Surface water runoff is conveyed to two above ground settling ponds (001 & 003) that have not discharged to date and are not expected to discharge in the foreseeable future. Outfall 002 has not discharge in many years and it is not expected to discharge in the foreseeable future. The current inactive mine is scheduled to shut off the discharge pumps and seal the mine portals in the coming weeks.

## **INSPECTION SUMMARY**

There were no deficiencies noted during the last inspection for follow up, however there have been several iron exceedences in the mine water discharge in recent months as equipment and supplies are removed from the mine prior to shut down. The outfall locations and sedimentation ponds were observed as well as the receiving water drainage of Deadman Canyon. The discharge was flowing mostly clear and steady at the time of the inspection. There were no deficiencies observed.

## **DEFICIENCIES**

None.

## **REQUIREMENTS**

None.

# Water Compliance Inspection Report

## Section A: National Data System Coding (i.e., ICIS)

Transaction Code		NPDES									yr/mo/day						Inspection Type		Inspector		Fac. Type	
N		U	T	0	0	2	5	6	4	0	0	8	0	8	2	6	R	S	2			
1	2	3								11		12				17	18	19	20			
Remarks																						
Inspection Work Days		Facility Self-Monitoring Evaluation Rating									BI		QA		Reserved							
	2									4	N		N									
67	69									70	71		72						80			

## Section B: Facility Data

Name and Location of Facility Inspected (For industrial users discharging to POTW, also include POTW name and NPDES permit number)		Entry Time/ Date 10:40 am/ 8-26-2008	Permit Effective Date 5-1-2006
West Ridge Resources, West Ridge Mine 794 North "C" Canyon Road, Carbon County, UT		Exit Time/ Date 11:15 am/8-26-2008	Permit Expiration Date 4-30-2011
Name(s) of On-Site Representative(s)/Title(s)/Phone and Fax Number(s)		Other Facility Data (e.g., SIC NAICS, and other descriptive information)	
Karla Knoop, Hydrologist & Authorized Agent jbr Environmental Consultants, Inc. phone (435) 637-9645 fax (435) 637-8679		Bituminous Coal Underground Mining Facility SIC Code 1222 NAICS 212112	
Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number		SEE ATTACHED	
Bruce Hill, President and CEO UtahAmerican Energy, Inc. P.O. Box 1077 Price, UT 84501 (435) 888-4000		Contacted <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	



## Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection (Check only those areas evaluated)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Permit	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Self Monitoring Program	<input type="checkbox"/> Pretreatment	<input type="checkbox"/> MS4
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Records/Reports	<input type="checkbox"/> Compliance Schedule	<input type="checkbox"/> Pollution Prevention	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility Site Review	<input type="checkbox"/> Laboratory	<input type="checkbox"/> Storm Water	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Effluent/Receiving Waters	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Operations & Maintenance	<input type="checkbox"/> Combined Sewer Overflow	
<input type="checkbox"/> Flow Measurement	<input type="checkbox"/> Sludge Handling/Disposal	<input type="checkbox"/> Sanitary Sewer Overflow	

## Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments

*(Attach additional sheets of narrative and checklists, including Single Event Violation codes, as necessary)*

SEV Codes	SEV Description
<input type="text"/>	
<input type="text"/>	
<input type="text"/>	
<input type="text"/>	

Name(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) JEFF STUDENKA, ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENTIST 	Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Number(s) DWQ (801) 538-6779	Date: 8-28-08
Name and Signature of Management Q A Reviewer MIKE HERKIMER, MANAGER UPDES IES SECTION 	Agency/Office/Phone and Fax Number(s) DWQ (801) 538-6058	Date: 9/2/08

## INSTRUCTIONS

### Section A: National Data System Coding (*i.e.*, ICIS)

**Column 1: Transaction Code:** Use N, C, or D for New, Change, or Delete. All inspections will be *new* unless there is an error in the data entered.

**Columns 3-11: NPDES Permit No.** Enter the facility's NPDES permit number - third character in permit number indicates permit type for U=unpermitted, G=general permit, etc. (*Use the Remarks columns to record the State permit number, if necessary.*)

**Columns 12-17: Inspection Date.** Insert the date entry was made into the facility. Use the year/month/day format (e.g., 04/10/01 = October 01, 2004).

**Column 18: Inspection Type\*.** Use one of the codes listed below to describe the type of inspection:

A	Performance Audit	X	Toxics Inspection	6	IU Non-Sampling Inspection with Pretreatment
B	Compliance Biomonitoring	Z	Sludge - Biosolids	7	IU Toxics with Pretreatment
C	Compliance Evaluation (non-sampling)	#	Combined Sewer Overflow-Sampling	!	Pretreatment Compliance (Oversight)@
D	Diagnostic	\$	Combined Sewer Overflow-Non-Sampling	{	Follow-up (enforcement)
F	Pretreatment (Follow-up)	+	Sanitary Sewer Overflow-Sampling	}	Storm Water-Construction-Sampling
G	Pretreatment (Audit)	&	Sanitary Sewer Overflow-Non-Sampling	:	Storm Water-Construction-Non-Sampling
I	Industrial User (IU) Inspection	\	CAFO-Sampling	~	Storm Water-Non-Construction-Sampling
J	Complaints	=	CAFO-Non-Sampling	<	Storm Water-MS4-Sampling
M	Multimedia	3	IU Non-Sampling Inspection	-	Storm Water-MS4-Non-Sampling
N	Spill	4	IU Toxics Inspection	>	Storm Water-MS4-Audit
O	Compliance Evaluation (Oversight)	5	IU Sampling Inspection with Pretreatment		
P	Pretreatment Compliance Inspection				
R	Reconnaissance				
S	Compliance Sampling				
U	IU Inspection with Pretreatment Audit				

**Column 19: Inspector Code.** Use one of the codes listed below to describe the *lead agency* in the inspection.

A-	State (Contractor)	O-	Other Inspectors, Federal/EPA (Specify in Remarks columns)
B-	EPA (Contractor)	P-	Other Inspectors, State (Specify in Remarks columns)
E-	Corps of Engineers	R-	EPA Regional Inspector
J-	Joint EPA/State Inspectors—EPA Lead	S-	State Inspector
L-	Local Health Department (State)	T-	Joint State/EPA Inspectors—State lead
N-	NEIC Inspectors		

**Column 20: Facility Type.** Use one of the codes below to describe the facility.

- 1- Municipal. Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs) with 1987 Standard Industrial Code (SIC) 4952.
- 2- Industrial. Other than municipal, agricultural, and Federal facilities.
- 3- Agricultural. Facilities classified with 1987 SIC 0111 to 0971.
- 4- Federal. Facilities identified as Federal by the EPA Regional Office.
- 5- Oil & Gas. Facilities classified with 1987 SIC 1311 to 1389.

**Columns 21-66: Remarks.** These columns are reserved for remarks at the discretion of the Region.

**Columns 67-69: Inspection Work Days.** Estimate the total work effort (to the nearest 0.1 work day), up to 99.9 days, that were used to complete the inspection and submit a QA reviewed report of findings. This estimate includes the accumulative effort of all participating inspectors; any effort for laboratory analyses, testing, and remote sensing; and the billed payroll time for travel and pre and post inspection preparation. This estimate does not require detailed documentation.

**Column 70: Facility Evaluation Rating.** Use information gathered during the inspection (regardless of inspection type) to evaluate the quality of the facility self-monitoring program. Grade the program using a scale of 1 to 5 with a score of 5 being used for very reliable self-monitoring programs, 3 being satisfactory, and 1 being used for very unreliable programs.

**Column 71: Biomonitoring Information.** Enter D for static testing. Enter F for flow through testing. Enter N for no biomonitoring.

**Column 72: Quality Assurance Data Inspection.** Enter Q if the inspection was conducted as follow-up on quality assurance sample results. Enter N otherwise.

**Columns 73-80:** These columns are reserved for regionally defined information.

### Section B: Facility Data

This section is self-explanatory except for "Other Facility Data," which may include new information not in the permit or PCS (e.g., new outfalls, names of receiving waters, new ownership, other updates to the record, SIC/NAICS Codes, Latitude/Longitude).

### Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection

Check only those areas evaluated by marking the appropriate box. Use Section D and additional sheets as necessary. Support the findings, as necessary, in a brief narrative report. Use the headings given on the report form (e.g., Permit, Records/Reports) when discussing the areas evaluated during the inspection.

### Section D: Summary of Findings/Comments

Briefly summarize the inspection findings. This summary should abstract the pertinent inspection findings, not replace the narrative report. Reference a list of attachments, such as completed checklists taken from the NPDES Compliance Inspection Manuals and pretreatment guidance documents, including effluent data when sampling has been done. Use extra sheets as necessary.

\*Footnote: In addition to the inspection types listed above under column 18, a state may continue to use the following wet weather and CAFO inspection types until the state is brought into ICIS-NPDES: K: CAFO, V: SSO, Y: CSO, W: Storm Water 9: MS4. States may also use the new wet weather, CAFO and MS4 inspections types shown in column 18 of this form. The EPA regions are required to use the new wet weather, CAFO, and MS4 inspection types for inspections with an inspection date (DTIN) on or after July 1, 2005.

## **INSPECTION PROTOCOL**

UPDES Permit #: UT0025640 - West Ridge Mine  
Inspection Type: Reconnaissance Inspection  
Inspection Date: August 26, 2008

Jeff Studenka of the Division of Water Quality (DWQ) met with Karla Knoop at the UtahAmerican Energy, Inc., West Ridge Mine (West Ridge) facility. The purpose for the site visit was to perform a reconnaissance inspection while in the area.

## **FACILITY DESCRIPTION**

Location: 794 North "C" Canyon Road, near East Carbon, Utah  
Coordinates: Outfall 001 – 39° 36' 45" North latitude, 110° 26' 26" West longitude  
Outfall 002 – 39° 36' 58" North latitude, 110° 26' 10" West longitude

Average Flow: ~ 0.9 MGD (Outfall 002, mine water discharge)

Receiving water: "C" Canyon Ephemeral Drainage → Grassy Trail Creek

Process: Underground coal mining operation utilizing long-wall technology. Water from the mine is conveyed to a below ground collection area, where it is then continuously pumped out of the mine and discharged to the surface via Outfall 002. Surface water runoff is conveyed to an above ground settling pond system with a single discharge point (Outfall 001). Outfall 001 has not discharged to date.

## **INSPECTION SUMMARY**

The deficiency noted during the last inspection on 5-10-2007 was for excessive total iron exceedences in the mine water discharge. Since that time, West Ridge has implemented a NALCO chemical flocculent treatment system underground to settle out the iron in the mine water prior to discharge. A settlement agreement was finalized in late 2007 and administrative penalty was paid thereafter to formally resolve the violations. This reconnaissance inspection was limited to outside the mine where the water collection and distribution systems are exposed. The two outfall locations were observed as well as the receiving waters of "C" Canyon Drainage. At the time of the inspection, the mine water discharge was the only flow in "C" Canyon and the discharge was not observed downstream in Grassy Trail Creek, which was also dry. The discharge appeared to be mostly clear with no problems noted. Also, DWQ sampling crew, A. Hultquist and A. Anderson, arrived on site later that day and collected discharge compliance samples from Outfall 002 for TDS, TSS, iron, and oil & grease.

## **DEFICIENCIES**

No deficiencies were noted during the inspection.

## **REQUIREMENTS**

None.